## Appendix 2 - Impact Assessment Level 2: Full impact assessment

Subject of assessment:	Middlesbrough Environment City (MEC) – Healthy Living, Nutrition and Food Poverty Grant									
Coverage:	Service specific									
This is a decision relating to:	☐ Strategy	☐ Policy	X Service	☐ Function						
	☐ Process/procedure	X Programme	X Project	X Review						
	☐ Organisational change	☐ Other (please state)								
It is a:	New approach:		Revision of an existing approa	ch: X						
It is driven by:	Legislation:		Local or corporate requirements: X							

	Impacts	identified					
Assessment issue	None	Positive	Negative		Uncertain	Rationale and supporting evidence	
			Justified	Mitigated	Cilocitani		
Human Rights		'	'		1		
Engagement with Convention Rights (as set out in section 1, appendix 2 of the Impact Assessment Policy).	Х					No evidence of rights violations.	
Equality							
Age	Х					Although the survey findings do not represent the general population there was no suggested evidence that a decision would result in age related discrimination.	
Disability	х					Although the survey findings do not represent the general population there was no suggested evidence that a decision would result in disability related discrimination.	
Gender reassignment	х					No gender reassignment respondents. Although the survey findings do not represent the general population there was no suggested evidence that a decision would result in gender reassignment related discrimination.	
Pregnancy / maternity	Х					N/A the scope of the current grant doesn't impact the protection of pregnancy and maternity characteristics	
Race	Х					Although the survey findings do not represent the general population there was no suggested evidence that a decision would result in race related discrimination.	
Religion or belief	х					Although the survey findings do not represent the general population there was no suggested evidence that a decision would result in religion or belief related discrimination.	
Sex	х					Although the survey findings do not represent the general population there was no suggested evidence that a decision would result in sex related discrimination.	

	Impacts	s identified				
Assessment issue	None	Positive	Negative		Uncertain	Rationale and supporting evidence
			Justified	Mitigated		
Sexual Orientation	х					Although the survey findings do not represent the general population there was no suggested evidence that a decision would result in sexual orientation related discrimination.
Marriage / civil partnership <sup>**</sup>	X					N/A the scope of the current grant doesn't impact the protection of marriage / civil partnership characteristics
Dependants / caring responsibilities**	Х					N/A the scope of the current grant doesn't impact the protection of dependant / caring responsibilities characteristics
Criminal record / offending past**	Х					N/A the scope of the current grant doesn't impact the protection of criminal record / offending past characteristics

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indicates this is not included within the single equality duty placed upon public authorities by the Equality Act. See guidance for further details.

	Impacts	identified						
	impacts	identified						
Assessment issue	None	Positive	Negative		Uncertain	Rationale and supporting evidence		
			Justified	Mitigated				
Community cohesion								
Individual communities / neighbourhoods	Х					No impact identified.		
Relations between communities / neighbourhoods	Х					No impact identified.		
Armed Forces Covenant								
Council delivered healthcare services	Х					No impact identified.		
Compulsory Education - admissions; educational attainment and curriculum; child wellbeing; transport; attendance; additional needs support; and Service Pupil Premium funding	х					No impact identified.		
Housing, homelessness and disabled facilities grants	X					No impact identified.		
Care leavers								
Care experienced people	Х					No impact identified.		
Reducing Poverty								
Reducing poverty	Х					No impact identified.		